



# Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

Distr.: General  
27 January 2017

Original: English

## Committee against Torture

### Concluding observations on the fifth periodic report of Sri Lanka\*\*

1. The Committee against Torture considered the fifth periodic report of Sri Lanka (CAT/C/LKA/5) at its 1472nd and 1475th meetings (see CAT/C/SR.1472 and 1475), held on 15 and 16 November 2016, and adopted the present concluding observations at its 1494th meeting, held on 30 November 2016.

#### A. Introduction

2. The Committee welcomes the submission of the fifth periodic report of Sri Lanka and the written replies to the list of issues (CAT/C/LKA/Q/5/Add.1).
3. The Committee appreciates the dialogue held with the State party's delegation during the consideration of the report and the written additional information provided afterwards.

#### B. Positive aspects

4. The Committee welcomes the declaration made on 16 August 2016 under article 22 of the Convention, recognizing the competence of the Committee to receive and consider individual communications. The Committee appreciates as well the State party's ratification of the following instruments:
  - (a) The International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, in May 2016;
  - (b) The Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, in February 2016.
5. The Committee also welcomes the following legislative and normative measures taken by the State party in areas of relevance to the Convention:
  - (a) The enactment, on 25 August 2016, of the amendment to the Registration of Deaths (Temporary Provisions) Act No. 19 of 2010, enabling the issuance of certificates of absence to those who claim that their family members are missing;

\* Reissued for technical reasons on 6 February 2017.

\*\* Adopted by the Committee at its fifty-ninth session (7 November-7 December 2016).



(b) The adoption, on 23 August 2016, of the Office of Missing Persons Act No. 14;

(c) The adoption, on 15 May 2015, of the nineteenth amendment to the Constitution, which reinstated the Constitutional Council and led to the appointment of several independent constitutional commissions;

(d) The promulgation, on 7 March 2015, of the Assistance to and Protection of Victims of Crime and Witnesses Act No. 4;

(e) The adoption, in 2013, of Crimes Circular No. 2/2013, providing for disciplinary action against officers who fail to properly register detained persons.

6. The Committee takes note of the State party's initiatives to amend its policies and procedures to afford greater protection of human rights and to apply the Convention, in particular:

(a) The appointment, in January 2016, of a task force to conduct national consultations on transitional justice processes and mechanisms and the establishment, in November 2015, of the Secretariat for the Coordination of the Reconciliation Mechanisms;

(b) The establishment, in July 2016, of an inter-institutional committee to take preventive measures against torture;

(c) The instructions, issued in April 2016 by the commanders of the army, navy and air force, indicating that strict action will be taken against human rights violations;

(d) The directives, issued on 17 June 2016 by the President to the armed forces and the police, to ensure that the fundamental rights of persons arrested under the Prevention of Terrorism Act are respected and to assist the Human Rights Commission of Sri Lanka in the exercise of its duties;

(e) The adoption, in May 2011, of the National Action Plan for the Protection and Promotion of Human Rights (2011-2016), which identifies "prevention of torture" as one of the priority areas;

(f) The launch, on 16 November 2016, of the Policy Framework and National Action Plan to address sexual and gender-based violence (2016-2020).

7. The Committee expresses its appreciation for the standing invitation extended by the State party to the special procedures of the Human Rights Council in December 2015. It also notes with appreciation the visits to the State party during the period under review by the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment; the Special Rapporteur on the independence of judges and lawyers; the Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances; the Special Rapporteur on the promotion of truth, justice, reparation and guarantees of non-recurrence; the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants; and the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of internally displaced persons; as well as by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights.

## **C. Principal subjects of concern and recommendations**

### **Pending follow-up issues from the previous reporting cycle**

8. While noting with appreciation the State party's compliance with the follow-up procedure and the written information provided by the State party (CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4/Add.1), the Committee regrets that the recommendations identified for follow-up in the previous concluding observations (CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4) have not been implemented, namely accountability for past violations (paras. 15-16), investigation of torture allegations

(paras. 19-20), fundamental legal safeguards (paras. 27-28) and forced confessions (paras. 31-32).

#### **Allegations of routine torture during police detention**

9. The Committee remains seriously concerned at consistent reports from national and United Nations sources, including the Special Rapporteur on torture, indicating that torture is a common practice carried out in relation to regular criminal investigations in a large majority of cases by the Criminal Investigation Department of the police, regardless of the nature of the suspected offence. The Committee is concerned that the broad police powers to arrest suspects without a court warrant has led to the practice of detaining persons while conducting the investigations as a means to obtain information under duress. The Committee notes allegations that police investigators often fail to register detainees during the initial hours of deprivation of liberty or to bring them before a magistrate within the time limit prescribed by law, during which time torture is particularly likely to occur. It also notes with concern that neither the Attorney General nor the judiciary exert sufficient supervision over the legality of the detention or the conduct of police investigations to prevent this practice. In this regard, the Committee shares the concern of the Special Rapporteur on torture that magistrates often do not inquire into potential ill-treatment during pretrial hearings and agree to the requests of police officers to keep suspects in remand custody without further scrutiny (arts. 2, 12 and 16).

#### **10. The Committee calls on the State party to:**

(a) **Make the necessary legislative amendments requiring the police to obtain an arrest warrant issued by a judicial authority to conduct an arrest, except in cases of flagrante delicto;**

(b) **Ensure that detained persons are promptly brought before a judge within the time limit established by law, which should not go beyond 48 hours;**

(c) **Ensure that arresting officers register the exact date, time, ground for the detention and place of arrest of all detained persons. The State party should ensure that compliance with the detention registration system is closely monitored and penalize any officers who fail to adhere to it or to ensure that their subordinates do so;**

(d) **Establish effective prosecutorial oversight over police actions during investigation and improve criminal investigation methods in order to end statements obtained during police interrogation being relied on as the central element of proof in criminal prosecutions;**

(e) **Remind judges of their duty, whenever they have a reason to believe that a person appearing before them may have been subjected to torture or duress, to actively ask the detainees about their treatment during detention and to request a forensic examination. The competent authorities should hold responsible those persons whose duty it is to apply the law, including judges who fail to respond appropriately to allegations of torture raised during judicial proceedings;**

(f) **Install video surveillance in all places of custody where detainees may be present, except in cases where detainees' right to privacy or to confidential communication with their lawyer or doctor may be violated. Such recordings should be kept in secure facilities and be made available to investigators, detainees and lawyers;**

(g) **Encourage the application of non-custodial measures as an alternative to pretrial detention.**

**Alleged “white van” abductions and torture in unacknowledged detention facilities**

11. The Committee expresses concern at credible reports indicating that the practice of so-called “white van” abductions of Tamils has continued in the years following the end of the armed conflict. The Committee notes allegations of this practice documented by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) Investigation on Sri Lanka during the period 2002-2011 as well as by non-governmental organizations, which have identified 48 sites where torture allegedly occurred or which were used as transit points to torture locations between 2009 and 2015. The Committee notes the information received that numerous individuals suspected of having a link, however remote, with the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam have been abducted and then subjected to brutal torture, often including sexual violence and rape of men and women. According to the information received, such practices are carried out by both military and police in unacknowledged places of detention, which have included law enforcement headquarters, army and internally displaced person camps and “rehabilitation centres”. While noting the State party’s position that no secret torture camps or detention centres exist at present, the Committee regrets the failure of the State party to clarify whether it investigated these recent allegations of torture (arts. 2, 12, 13 and 16).

**12. The Committee urges the State party to ensure that all allegations of unlawful detention, torture and sexual violence by security forces are promptly, impartially and effectively investigated by an independent body. The Committee urges the State party to publish a full list of all gazetted detention centres, close down any unofficial ones still in existence and ensure that no one is detained in unofficial detention facilities, as this practice is per se a breach of the Convention.**

**Institutional reform of the security sector**

13. Bearing in mind the findings of the OHCHR Investigation on Sri Lanka that the Sri Lankan security forces committed widespread or systematic torture, enforced disappearances and other serious human rights violations during and in the aftermath of the internal conflict, the Committee is seriously concerned at the failure of the State party to carry out an institutional reform of the security sector. In this regard, the Committee was alarmed by the presence of the Chief of National Intelligence, Sisira Mendis, as part of the Sri Lankan delegation, as he was the Deputy Inspector General of the Criminal Investigations Department from March 2008 to June 2009. The Committee observed that Mr. Mendis is named in the report of the Investigation, which noted that the Department’s “4th floor” facility at police headquarters in Colombo was known as a notorious site of torture. The report also contains allegations of widespread torture, including sexual violence, perpetrated against individuals detained at Manik Farm Camp and elsewhere in the aftermath of the conflict by personnel of the Department and the Terrorism Investigation Division, over which Mr. Mendis also allegedly exercised supervisory authority until June 2009. In this connection, the Committee deeply regrets that neither Mr. Mendis nor any other member of the delegation provided information in response to the many specific questions raised by the Committee on this subject during the dialogue with the State party and in its written additional information provided to the Committee.

**14. The State party should:**

**(a) Immediately embark upon an institutional reform of the security sector and develop a vetting process to remove from office military and security force personnel at the higher and lower ranks, as well as any other public official, where there are reasonable grounds to believe that they were involved in human rights violations, as recommended in the report of the OHCHR Investigation on Sri Lanka;**

(b) **Provide detailed information on Mr. Mendis's role and responsibilities with regard to allegations of torture while he was Deputy Inspector General of the Criminal Investigation Department.**

#### **Ensuring accountability for past cases of torture and disappearance**

15. While welcoming the State party's commitment to address the widespread violations that occurred during and immediately after the internal conflict, indicated by its co-sponsorship of Human Rights Council resolution 30/1 on promoting reconciliation, accountability and human rights in Sri Lanka, the Committee notes that the State party has only just completed a process of national consultations and has not yet established institutions called for in that resolution, particularly a judicial mechanism with a special counsel, as well as a commission for truth, justice, reconciliation and non-recurrence and an office for reparations. The Committee also notes with regret that the State party has not yet concluded its ongoing investigations into certain emblematic cases of violations committed during the conflict period, including the killings of the "Trincomalee Five" students and the killing of 17 aid workers of Action against Hunger, both of which occurred in 2006. Additionally, while noting that severe punishments have been imposed in the Vishwamadu case, concluded in October 2015, the Committee regrets the failure of the State party to provide the requested information on the progress of the 39 investigations it has reportedly initiated with regard to the acts of rape and sexual violence allegedly committed by security forces in the aftermath of the conflict. In this regard, the Committee shares the view expressed by the High Commissioner for Human Rights during his visit to the State party in February 2016 that relevant criminal investigations currently pending before the courts should not be put on hold while the transitional justice mechanisms are developed (arts. 2, 12 and 13).

16. **The State party should expedite the establishment of the mechanisms called for in Human Rights Council resolution 30/1 and, in particular, a judicial mechanism with a special counsel to investigate allegations of torture, enforced disappearances and other serious human rights violations. The mechanism should include independent judicial and prosecutorial institutions led by individuals known for their integrity and impartiality at the national and international levels. The State party should also map all pending criminal investigations related to serious human rights violations perpetrated during the course of the conflict and its aftermath, as well as the findings of all presidential commissions that documented such cases, and ensure that prompt, thorough and independent investigations are conducted to establish the truth and to ensure that those responsible, directly or as commanders or superiors, are held to account. In this regard, the Committee recalls that, as stated in its general comment No. 3 (2012) on the implementation of article 14, amnesties for the crime of torture are incompatible with the obligations of States parties under the Convention. The State party should ensure that its ongoing investigations into emblematic cases of violations during the conflict and post-conflict eras are concluded as expeditiously as possible and result in prosecutions of the perpetrators.**

#### **Reprisals against victims and witnesses in cases of torture**

17. The Committee is concerned about information indicating that victims are reluctant to bring allegations of torture to the police because they fear retaliation. In this regard, the Committee regrets the lack of statistical data on the number of complaints received by the State party pertaining to reprisals against victims of or witnesses to torture and the outcome of investigations into those complaints. While expressing appreciation for the adoption of the Victim and Witness Protection Act No. 4 of 2015, the Committee is concerned at the information provided by the delegation that the Victim and Witness Protection Division foreseen by the law is to be located within the institutional hierarchy of the police, despite

the fact that the police have been identified as being responsible in the majority of alleged cases of torture (arts. 13 and 14).

**18. The State party should establish an independent, effective, confidential and accessible complaints mechanism for victims of torture, including for persons deprived of their liberty, and ensure that complainants can file their complaints safely without risk of reprisals. It should also revise the Assistance to and Protection of Victims of Crimes and Witnesses Act to ensure that witnesses to and victims of human rights violations, including torture, sexual violence and trafficking, are effectively protected and assisted, in particular by ensuring that the Victims and Witness Protection Division is an autonomous entity independent of the police hierarchy and that its members are fully vetted. The State party should also take prompt criminal and disciplinary action against police officers responsible for threats or reprisals against victims of and witnesses to torture.**

#### **Inadequate investigations into allegations of torture and ill-treatment**

19. The Committee remains deeply concerned that, according to numerous reports from United Nations and non-governmental sources, impunity prevails in most cases of torture in the State party. The Committee notes with concern that only 17 cases of torture have been filed under the Convention against Torture Act since 2012 and only 2 have resulted in convictions, suggesting that only a small number of allegations of torture have actually been investigated. The Committee notes with concern the considerable discrepancy between the low number of complaints of torture reportedly received by the police since 2012 (150 cases) and the high number of allegations of torture received by the Human Rights Commission of Sri Lanka during the same period (2,259 cases). The Committee did not receive the information it requested on the number of prosecutions of torture cases that have been initiated on the basis of allegations forwarded to law enforcement officers by the Commission. It also notes with concern the information shared by the delegation that although the Commission forwards all allegations of torture to the Attorney General's Office for prosecution, the Office does not open *ex officio* investigations into those complaints, but rather refers them to the police for further investigation. Similarly, the Committee notes the State party's confirmation that prosecutors generally do not launch investigations into torture *ex officio*, but rather only act in cases where a complaint of torture is first submitted to the police and investigated by them. The Committee is seriously concerned that this institutional arrangement has impeded the carrying out of impartial, effective investigations into allegations of torture, as responsibility for launching such investigations rests solely with the Special Investigative Unit of the police, which remains within the police hierarchy (arts. 2, 4, 12, 13 and 16).

**20. The Committee reiterates its previous recommendation (see CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4, para. 18) that the State party establish an independent body tasked with investigating complaints against law enforcement officers that is independent of the police hierarchy. The Committee also urges the State party to strengthen the independence of the prosecutorial authority responsible for acting on cases of torture and consider vesting prosecutors with the ability to mandate *ex officio* investigations into torture. The State party should also ensure that persons under investigation in cases of torture are immediately suspended from duty for the duration of the investigation, particularly when there is a risk that they might otherwise be in a position to repeat the alleged act, to commit reprisals against the alleged victim or to obstruct the investigation; and that those responsible for committing, ordering, consenting to or acquiescing in the commission of torture are duly prosecuted, tried and, if found guilty, punished in a manner that is commensurate with the gravity of their acts.**

### **Prolonged administrative detention under the Prevention of Terrorism Act**

21. Although the state of emergency was lifted in 2011, the Committee remains deeply concerned that the administrative detention regime established in the Prevention of Terrorism Act No. 48 of 1979 remains in force. Under this law, before bringing a suspect before a magistrate, security officers may detain that person for 72 hours, and thereafter for up to 18 months, in such a place and conditions as determined in a detention order issued by the Minister of Defence, which cannot be challenged in court. The Committee notes with concern that, in practice, suspects held under the Act have been held for as long as 15 years without being indicted, and even those who have been charged have remained in detention without a verdict for as long as 14 years. The Committee is also concerned at the large number of documented allegations of torture of persons formerly and currently detained under the Act who also allege violations of their due process rights during detention, in particular restrictions on access to their lawyers. While noting that a draft policy and legal framework has been proposed by the Government to replace the Act, the Committee regrets the lack of specific information provided by the delegation on the scope of the terrorism-related offences, the safeguards against arbitrary arrest and the judicial oversight of detention. In the absence of these clarifications, the Committee wishes to stress that any regime that would place suspects in the custody of the investigating authorities for prolonged detention and continuous questioning without access to appropriate safeguards and immediate judicial oversight would give rise to a real risk of torture and would therefore be contrary to the Convention (arts. 2, 11, 12 and 16).

**22. The State party should take prompt legislative measures to repeal the Prevention of Terrorism Act and abolish the regime of administrative detention, which confines individuals outside the criminal justice system and makes them vulnerable to abuse. In the meantime, the State party should guarantee that magistrates promptly review all detention orders under the Act and that detainees who are designated for potential prosecution are charged and tried as soon as possible, and that those who are not charged or tried are immediately released. Should legislation on national security be considered necessary, the State party should abide by internationally recognized standards by adopting a precise definition of terrorist acts, ensuring the right of detainees to be brought promptly before a judge and to access a lawyer from the outset of the detention, and guaranteeing the requirements of strict necessity and proportionality of the detention and the periodic review of the detention by a court that can order the immediate release of the detainee or alternative measures.**

### **Enforced disappearances**

23. While welcoming the State party's increased engagement to clarify the fate of thousands of missing persons, including its efforts to adopt legislation that will incorporate the recently ratified International Convention on the Protection of Persons from Enforced Disappearance into domestic law, the Committee regrets the lack of clarification on the plans to equip the Office of Missing Persons with the necessary technical capacity and forensic expertise to conduct exhumations. The Committee expresses concern, furthermore, about the alarming assessment provided by the Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances following its visit to the State party on the lack of progress, impartiality and effectiveness of the ongoing investigation at the secret detention place in Navy Camp in Trincomalee, where many disappearances and torture-related offences allegedly occurred (arts. 2, 12, 14 and 16).

**24. The State party should take all the necessary measures to combat impunity for the crime of enforced disappearance, in particular by:**

(a) **Accelerating the process of adoption of legislation that will criminalize enforced disappearances and ensuring that this crime will be punished with penalties that take into account its grave nature;**

(b) **Ensuring that all cases of enforced disappearance and torture, including those that took place in Navy Camp in Trincomalee, are thoroughly, promptly and effectively investigated by an independent mechanism, that suspects are prosecuted and that those found guilty are punished with sanctions proportionate to the gravity of their crimes, even when no human remains are found;**

(c) **Ensuring that the Office of Missing Persons is equipped with the technical capacity required to conduct exhumations, including forensic expertise;**

(d) **Ensuring that any individual who has suffered harm as the direct result of an enforced disappearance has access to information about the fate of the disappeared person as well as to fair and adequate compensation, including any necessary psychological, social and financial support.**

#### **Rehabilitation under the antiterrorism framework**

25. The Committee is concerned at the continued use of the “rehabilitation” programme, foreseen under the Emergency Regulations for persons connected with the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam who surrendered to the army at the end of the conflict in 2009. While noting the information provided by the delegation that this option is voluntary and offered only to persons who have been indicted and remanded in custody, the Committee is concerned at the lack of transparency regarding the criteria for selection, the conditions of detention and the judicial oversight with regard to the necessity and lawfulness of the confinement. While noting that, according to official sources, only 19 persons are currently undergoing rehabilitation and that 12,169 persons have already been rehabilitated, the Committee is concerned about recent allegations from credible sources of cases of torture of persons who were undergoing rehabilitation, in addition to the allegations of torture in rehabilitation centres during the period covered by the OHCHR Investigation on Sri Lanka report. The Committee regrets the failure of the State party to clarify whether these current and old allegations have been investigated (arts. 2, 11, 12 and 16).

26. **The State party should abolish the current system of “rehabilitation” under antiterrorism regulations, which allows persons to be confined in centres without due process safeguards. In the meantime, the State party should ensure that magistrates promptly review all the pending decisions on rehabilitation to guarantee that detainees who are designated for potential prosecution are charged and tried as soon as possible and that those who are not to be charged or tried are immediately released. The State party should also account for the 12,169 persons who have been “rehabilitated” and ensure that they are not subjected to arbitrary detention. The Committee urges the State party to ensure that allegations of torture and sexual violence in rehabilitation centres are promptly, impartially and effectively investigated by an independent mechanism.**

#### **Fundamental legal safeguards**

27. Recalling its previous recommendation (see CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4, para. 7), the Committee remains concerned that several due process rights of detained persons are still not enshrined in national legislation, such as the right to inform the next of kin of an arrest. While noting that the 2012 Police Rules recognize the right of a lawyer to represent his/her client at a police station at any time, the Committee regrets that neither the current legislation nor the Rules guarantee the right of the detained person to meet with a lawyer from the outset of the detention. In this regard, the Committee notes with concern that the

amendments to the Code of Criminal Procedure Act proposed in 2016 guarantee the right to meet with a lawyer only after the detainee's statement is taken by the police. While noting that the Government is reconsidering this proposal, the Committee stresses that such a rule would not eliminate the risk of detainees being tortured during police interrogations. It is also concerned about information that habeas corpus applications are still an ineffective remedy to challenge the lawfulness of detention because of the excessive delays in the process of inquiry before the magistrate's courts (art. 2).

**28. The State party should make the necessary legislative amendments to the draft Code of Criminal Procedure Act in order to ensure, in law and in practice, that all detainees are afforded all fundamental legal safeguards from the outset of the deprivation of liberty, including the safeguards mentioned in paragraphs 13 and 14 of the Committee's general comment No. 2. In particular, the State party should guarantee that the persons arrested and detained have the right:**

(a) **To prompt access to a lawyer, especially during police interrogations, including unrestricted access to an ex officio lawyer;**

(b) **To notify a relative or other person of the detainee's choice of the reasons for and place of detention;**

(c) **To challenge, any time during the detention, the legality or necessity of the detention before a magistrate who can order the detainee's immediate release, and to receive a decision without delay. The State party should increase its efforts to ensure that the adjudication of habeas corpus proceedings is as expeditious as possible. The State party should regularly verify that law enforcement officials respect legal safeguards, and it should apply Crimes Circular No. 02/2013 and penalize any failure on the officials' part to do so.**

#### **Medical examinations**

29. While noting the information provided in the State party's report that arrested persons are routinely brought for a medico-legal examination before being produced before a magistrate and prior to their release, the Committee regrets the lack of information on the number of investigations initiated on the basis of medico-legal reports that showed evidence of ill-treatment. The Committee is also concerned that the person examined can only obtain a copy of the medico-legal report once it is sent to the court and becomes a public document, and that its publication threatens the confidentiality of the medical information and exposes victims to reprisals. As regards requests for medico-legal examinations in prisons, the Committee expresses concern at information that prison doctors need to request permission from the prison management, which may create a conflict of duty for prison doctors and expose them to pressure to suppress evidence (art. 2).

**30. The Committee calls on the State party to ensure that:**

(a) **A medical examination is performed promptly at the beginning of the deprivation of liberty by independent doctors, including doctors of the detainee's own choosing, who have been trained in the use of the Manual on the Effective Investigation and Documentation of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (the Istanbul Protocol);**

(b) **The medico-legal report is made directly available to the detainee or the detainee's counsel on request;**

(c) **All examinations are performed out of earshot and sight of police officers and prison staff;**

(d) **Doctors are able to report any signs of torture or ill-treatment to an independent investigative authority in confidence and without risk of reprisals.**

#### **Forced confessions**

31. Recalling its previous recommendation (see CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4, para. 11), the Committee remains concerned that, under the Protection against Terrorism Act, confessions obtained by officials at or above the rank of Assistant Superintendent of Police are still admissible as sole evidence in court, even if they were taken without the presence of a lawyer and are later retracted by the accused on the grounds of coercion. The Committee is concerned that, even after the so-called *voire dire* or admissibility inquiries take place, the judge enjoys final discretion whether to admit evidence and, for persons detained under the Prevention of Terrorism Act, the burden of proof remains on those persons to prove that their confessions were made under duress. The Committee is alarmed by information that the same rule has been carried over in the proposed draft framework that will replace the Act. It is also seriously concerned at information that 90 per cent of convictions are based on a confession alone or as the main evidence and that, in numerous documented cases of torture, the accused persons alleged that they were forced to sign blank sheets of paper or self-incriminatory statements written in a language they did not understand (arts. 2, 12 and 15).

32. **The State party should make the necessary legislative amendments to ensure that national legislation, and any legislative proposals to replace the security legal framework, strictly guarantees that coerced confessions are inadmissible in practice as evidence in court, including in cases concerning State security. In this respect, the Committee calls on the State party to:**

(a) **Ensure that, where there is an allegation that a statement was made under torture, the burden of proof effectively remains on the prosecuting authority, without exception. A forensic medical examination should immediately be ordered and the necessary steps taken to ensure that the allegations are promptly and properly investigated;**

(b) **Enforce the Evidence Ordinance of Sri Lanka in all criminal cases, including in terrorism-related offences, and ensure that extrajudicial confessions that are recanted by defendants when they appear before a magistrate on the ground of having been coerced are effectively excluded from the proceedings, especially when the medical examination sustains the claim;**

(c) **Enshrine in national legislation the right of the accused to have access to an interpreter from the outset of the deprivation of liberty and throughout the proceedings;**

(d) **Adopt the measures required to permit proceedings to be reopened on the ground that they were held on the basis of confessions extracted under torture.**

#### **Human Rights Commission of Sri Lanka**

33. While expressing appreciation for the appointment of the new commissioners in October 2015 by the Constitutional Council, following the adoption of the nineteenth amendment to the Constitution (see paragraph 5 (c)), the Committee is concerned that the Commission has not always been able to visit police stations or prisons immediately after receiving an allegation of violation of a detainee's rights, owing to various administrative and logistical factors. While also expressing appreciation for the confidential complaint mechanism set up by the Commission, the Committee regrets that such complaints do not necessarily result in a criminal investigation, as indicated above. It also regrets that the Commission's recommendations, particularly those related to the compliance of new laws

with the obligations emanating from the Convention, have not been consistently implemented by the State party (art. 2).

**34. The State party should provide the Human Rights Commission of Sri Lanka with sufficient resources and staff to enable it to fulfil its broad mandate effectively. The State party should abide by the legal obligation to provide information to the Commission swiftly on all arrests and transfers as well as on any violations in detention facilities. The State authorities should also take prompt action on the Commission's recommendations and on the complaints of torture documented and referred for criminal investigation. The State party should consider reinforcing the mandate of the Human Rights Commission by legislating on its powers to refer cases directly to the courts, as recommended in the OHCHR Investigation on Sri Lanka report.**

#### **Conditions of detention**

35. The Committee is alarmed at the preliminary assessment of the Special Rapporteur on torture following his visit to Sri Lanka that the conditions of detention in prisons and detention facilities, in particular those of the Terrorist Investigation Division, could amount to cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment. According to the Special Rapporteur, overcrowding exceeds 200 per cent of capacity in certain locations, particularly in Vavuniya remand prison, and infrastructure is deficient, sanitary conditions poor, light and ventilation insufficient and access to health-care services and to recreational or educational activities inadequate. While noting that three visiting committees are foreseen in the new Prisons Administration Act, the Committee regrets the lack of information on the mechanisms to ensure the independence of these bodies. The Committee also takes into account the mandate of the Human Rights Commission of Sri Lanka to conduct unannounced visits to detention facilities, but is concerned about the capacity of the Commission to effectively fulfil such a broad mandate (arts. 2, 11 and 16).

**36. The State party should:**

**(a) Significantly reduce overcrowding in prisons by making more use of alternatives to incarceration, such as suspended sentences for first offenders or for certain minor offences;**

**(b) Continue its efforts to improve prison facilities and to remodel those facilities that do not meet international standards, such as Welikada prison, and allocate the resources required to improve conditions of detention and strengthen reintegration and rehabilitation activities;**

**(c) Improve the prison medical facilities and ensure the swift transfer of patients to the National Hospital in cases of emergencies and serious illnesses;**

**(d) Consider ratifying the Optional Protocol to the Convention, with a view to establishing an independent mechanism in charge of the regular monitoring of all places of detention.**

#### **Deaths in custody**

37. The Committee remains concerned about several instances of deaths in police custody in suspicious circumstances that have not yet been elucidated by the judicial authorities, such as the cases of Chandrasiri Dasanayaka and P.H. Sandun Malinga, and the deaths of four suspects who were arrested in connection with the killing of a police officer and his wife in Kamburupitiya. While noting the ongoing discussions to strengthen the system for the investigation of deaths in custody, the Committee remains concerned that, at

present, investigations are often conducted by the same police in whose custody the person died (arts. 2, 11, 12 and 16).

38. **The State party should take the necessary measures to ensure that:**

(a) **All instances of death in custody, including the deaths of Chandrasiri Dasanayaka and P.H. Sandun Malinga and of the four suspects who were arrested in connection with the killing of a police officer and his wife in Kamburupitiya are promptly and impartially investigated by an independent investigation unit with no institutional or hierarchical link with the custodial body;**

(b) **The post-mortem examinations are conducted outside the area in which the death occurred, in order to avoid collusion;**

(c) **Those found responsible for deaths in custody are brought to justice and, upon conviction, adequately punished.**

#### **Harassment of human rights defenders and journalists**

39. The Committee remains concerned about consistent reports of harassment and arbitrary detention of journalists and human rights defenders, which impede the effective reporting of torture and disappearance claims. The Committee regrets the slow progress of the investigations into past violations previously raised by the Committee, such as the disappearance of journalist Prageeth Eknaligoda, who was declared to be “travelling abroad” by the former Attorney General, the head of the State party’s delegation during the consideration of its previous report by the Committee in 2011, but who, after a thorough national investigation, was found by a national court to have been abducted by members of the national armed forces. The Committee also notes with concern reports that 9 out of 13 army personnel detained in connection with this case have reportedly been released on bail, disregarding the fears expressed by the victim’s family. It also regrets the lack of information on the investigations into recent cases of harassment, such as the alleged arbitrary detention of Ruki Fernando, the reported retaliatory police investigation of Mauri Inoka and the alleged intimidation of persons who engaged, or were suspected of engaging, with the Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances during its visit to the country in 2015 (art. 16).

40. **The Committee requests the State party to:**

(a) **Publicly condemn threats and attacks against human rights defenders and journalists and to ensure their effective protection;**

(b) **Promptly investigate the cases brought to the Committee’s attention, including those mentioned in the Committee’s list of issues (see CAT/C/LKA/Q/5, para. 36). The State party should ensure that suitable action is taken against those responsible and remedies granted to the victims;**

(c) **Promptly inform the Committee about the developments in and the outcome of the judicial proceeding instituted against the alleged perpetrators of the abduction of Prageeth Eknaligoda and ensure that Mr. Eknaligoda’s family members are provided with effective protection from all forms of harassment or reprisal;**

(d) **Put an end to the practice of detaining or prosecuting journalists and human rights defenders as a means of intimidating them or discouraging them from freely reporting on human rights issues.**

#### **Sexual abuse of children by Sri Lankan peacekeepers**

41. Recalling its previous recommendation (see CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4, para. 23) with regard to the alleged sexual exploitation and abuse of minors by military members of the

Sri Lankan contingents deployed in the United Nations Stabilization Mission in Haiti (MINUSTAH), the Committee remains concerned that only 23 out of more than a hundred members accused were convicted. While noting that disciplinary punishments were imposed by an army court of inquiry, which, according to the State party, acted in accordance with due process, the Committee regrets the failure of the State party to clarify the type of disciplinary punishments meted out and the penalties that were imposed for these serious crimes. The Committee takes note of the information that a stringent vetting process applies to the selection of officers for peacekeeping missions, but regrets the lack of clarification as to whether any of the soldiers accused of child abuse in Haiti would be deployed to the upcoming peacekeeping mission in Mali (arts. 2, 5, 12, 14 and 16).

42. **The Committee calls upon the State party to share with the Committee information regarding the investigation of military staff deployed in MINUSTAH on charges of child abuse, including the report of the Office of Internal Oversight Services, as well as the number of indictments, prosecutions (if any) and penalties imposed. The State party should also ensure that those responsible are criminally punished in accordance with the seriousness of their acts and that victims receive redress, including just and adequate compensation, and as complete a rehabilitation as possible. The State party should take effective steps to prevent this type of abuse in peacekeeping operations, including by providing specific training on the prevention of sexual abuse. For this purpose, the State party should take effective measures to vet any individual, including commanders, who has been involved in child abuse in Haiti as well as other human rights violations in Sri Lanka in order to ensure that they are not deployed to United Nations peacekeeping operations.**

#### **Non-refoulement**

43. Bearing in mind its previous recommendation (see CAT/C/LKA/CO/3-4, para. 27), the Committee remains concerned that the State party has not yet adopted a national legal and policy framework on asylum to guarantee the non-refoulement principle enshrined in article 3 of the Convention. The Committee notes with concern that asylum seekers are therefore treated as irregular immigrants and are often subjected to arrest and detention before being deported (art. 3).

44. **The State party should:**

(a) **Adopt the necessary legislative measures to fully incorporate into domestic legislation the principle of non-refoulement set out in article 3 of the Convention;**

(b) **Promptly establish a national asylum determination procedure that permits a thorough assessment of whether there is a substantial risk that the applicant would be subjected to torture in the country of destination, and conduct medical and psychological examinations when signs of torture or traumatization have been detected among applicants;**

(c) **Ensure that persons in need of international protection are not detained or that detention is used only as a measure of last resort, after alternatives have been duly examined and exhausted, and for the shortest possible time, in detention centres that are suitable for their purpose and whose regime is different from that of penal institutions;**

(d) **Consider ratifying the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees and the 1967 Protocol thereto.**

**Redress for victims of torture**

45. The Committee is concerned at the insufficient amount of compensation awarded by the Supreme Court to victims of torture since 2011, and regrets the lack of information with regard to the number of cases covered by the total amount. The Committee notes with concern that there is a large backlog of fundamental rights applications pending before the Supreme Court and that this remedy, which cannot be appealed, is not accessible to all victims because of the financial implications. Furthermore, decisions of the Supreme Court in favour of a victim of torture do not guarantee that effective investigations or prosecution will follow. The Committee regrets the lack of information on the number of applications for compensation lodged before the district courts and the number of victims of torture who were effectively granted compensation. It also regrets the absence of a rehabilitation programme aimed at torture victims.

46. **The Committee, recalling its general comment No. 3, urges the State party to:**

(a) **Take the necessary legislative and administrative measures to guarantee that victims of torture and ill-treatment benefit from effective remedies and can obtain all forms of redress, including restitution, adequate compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction and guarantees of non-repetition;**

(b) **Fully assess the needs of torture victims and ensure that specialized, holistic rehabilitation services are available and promptly accessible without discrimination, through the direct provision of rehabilitative services by the State or through the funding of other facilities, including those administered by non-governmental organizations.**

**Training**

47. While welcoming the teaching about the provisions of the Convention in military and police training programmes, the Committee regrets that trainings on non-coercive investigatory techniques and advanced methods of investigation are provided only on an ad hoc basis. It is also concerned at the assessment by the Special Rapporteur on torture that specific training in the forensic medical investigation and documentation of torture and ill-treatment is still needed (art. 10).

48. **The State party should provide periodic and compulsory training on the provisions of the Convention, the Istanbul Protocol and non-coercive interrogation techniques to all officials involved in the treatment and custody of persons deprived of their liberty. The State party should also develop and apply a methodology for evaluating the effectiveness of educational and training programmes relating to the Convention and the Istanbul Protocol.**

**Follow-up procedure**

49. **The Committee requests the State party to provide, by 7 December 2017, information on follow-up to the Committee's recommendations on the role and responsibilities of Mr. Mendis when he was Deputy Inspector General of the Criminal Investigations Department from March 2008 to June 2009 with regard to torture that allegedly occurred during his mandate; the establishment of a judicial mechanism with a special counsel to investigate allegations of torture, enforced disappearances and other serious human rights violations; and the establishment of an independent, effective, confidential and accessible complaints mechanism for victims of torture and the revision of the Assistance to and Protection of Victims of Crimes and Witnesses Act (see paragraphs 14 (b), 16 and 18 above). In that context, the State party is invited to inform the Committee about its plans for implementing, within the coming**

reporting period, some or all of the remaining recommendations in the concluding observations.

**Other issues**

50. The Committee invites the State party to ratify the core United Nations human rights treaties to which it is not yet a party.

51. The State party is requested to disseminate widely the report submitted to the Committee and the present concluding observations, in appropriate languages, including Sinhalese and Tamil, through official websites, the media and non-governmental organizations.

52. The State party is invited to submit its next periodic report, which will be its sixth, by 7 December 2020. For that purpose, the Committee invites the State party to accept, by 7 December 2017, the simplified reporting procedure consisting in the transmittal, by the Committee to the State party, of a list of issues prior to the submission of the report. The State party's replies to that list of issues will constitute its sixth periodic report under article 19 of the Convention.

---